

INDEX

A

Affected Environment		Percentage Change in VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Alternative 3 and the No-Build Alternative in 2020.....	4.9-22
Air Quality	4.9-7	Percentage Change in VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Alternative 4 and the No-Build Alternative in 2020.....	4.9-23
Biological Resources	4.14-4	Percentage Change in VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Alternative 5 and the No-Build Alternative in 2020.....	4.9-24
Cultural Resources.....	4.7-3	Summary of 2005 Estimated Annual Average Emissions in Placer County	4.9-16
Energy	4.16-1	Summary of 2005 Estimated Annual Average Emissions in Sacramento County	4.9-16
Environmental Justice.....	4.3-1	Summary of 2005 Estimated Annual Average Emissions in Sutter County	4.9-15
Farmland.....	4.4-3	VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Alternative 1 and the No-Build Alternative in 2020	4.9-21
Hazardous Waste/Materials	4.15-7	VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Alternative 1 in 2040	4.9-32
Hydrology.....	4.11-4	VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Alternative 2 and the No-Build Alternative in 2020	4.9-21
Land Use.....	4.1-6	VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Alternative 2 in 2040	4.9-32
Noise.....	4.10-4	VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Alternative 3 and the No-Build Alternative in 2020	4.9-22
Public Services	4.5-3	VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Alternative 3 in 2040	4.9-33
Socioeconomics	4.2-5	VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Alternative 4 and the No-Build Alternative in 2020	4.9-23
Soils, Geology, and Seismicity	4.13-1	VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Alternative 4 in 2040	4.9-33
Traffic and Transportation.....	4.8-5	VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Alternative 5 and the No-Build Alternative in 2020	4.9-24
Utilities	4.5-8	VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Alternative 5 in 2040	4.9-34
Visual/Aesthetics	4.6-1	VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for No-Build Alternative in 2020	4.9-20
Water Quality	4.12-2	VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for the No-Built Alternative in 2040	4.9-31
Agricultural Policies, Sutter and Placer Counties.....	4.4-3	VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Year 2005	4.9-18
Agricultural Production Trends	4.4-6, 4.4-7	Air Quality Analysis Study Area.....	3-9
Agricultural Production Values		Alternative 1 – the Red Alternative	
Placer County	4.4-5	Air Quality.....	4.9-20
Sutter County.....	4.4-5	Biological Resources Impacts.....	4.14-11
Air Quality		CEQA Evaluation.....	5-31
Comparison of VMT and Operational Emissions for all Alternatives in 2040.....	4.9-35		
Comparison of VMT and Operational Emissions for Build Alternatives in 2020	4.9-25		
Estimated Amount of Pollutants Emitted During Site Grading Activities	4.9-19		
Maximum Measured Pollutant Concentrations – North Highlands	4.9-14		
Maximum Measured Pollutant Concentrations – Pleasant Grove.....	4.9-8		
Maximum Measured Pollutant Concentrations – Roseville.....	4.9-13		
Operational Emissions from all Alternatives in 2040.....	4.9-34		
Percentage Change in VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Alternative 1 and the No-Build Alternative in 2020.....	4.9-21		
Percentage Change in VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for Alternative 2 and the No-Build Alternative in 2020.....	4.9-22		

Cultural Resources.....	4.7-19	Noise Impacts	4.10-23
description of.....	2-12	Public Services and Utilities	4.5-12
Farmland.....	4.4-17	Socioeconomic Impacts	4.2-21
Hazardous Waste/Materials Impacts	4.15-12	Soils, Geology, and Seismicity Impacts	4.13-11
Hydrology Impacts	4.11-15	Visual/Aesthetics	4.6-24
Land Use Impacts	4.1-27	Water Quality Impacts.....	4.12-15
Noise Impacts	4.10-10		
Public Services and Utilities	4.5-10	Alternative 5 – the Green Alternative	
Socioeconomic Impacts	4.2-19	Air Quality.....	4.9-24
Soils, Geology, and Seismicity Impacts	4.13-11	Biological Resources Impacts.....	4.14-26
Visual/Aesthetics	4.6-17	CEQA Evaluation.....	5-32
Water Quality Impacts.....	4.12-7	Cultural Resources.....	4.7-23
		description of.....	2-21
Alternative 2 – the Orange Alternative		Farmland.....	4.4-18
Air Quality.....	4.9-21	Hazardous Waste/Materials Impacts	4.15-13
Biological Resources Impacts.....	4.14-22	Hydrology Impacts	4.11-22
CEQA Evaluation.....	5-31	Land Use Impacts	4.1-34
Cultural Resources.....	4.7-20	Noise Impacts	4.10-24
description of.....	2-12	Public Services and Utilities	4.5-12
Farmland.....	4.4-17	Socioeconomic Impacts	4.2-21
Hazardous Waste/Materials Impacts	4.15-12	Soils, Geology, and Seismicity Impacts	4.13-11
Hydrology Impacts	4.11-19	Visual/Aesthetics	4.6-27
Land Use Impacts	4.1-31	Water Quality Impacts.....	4.12-16
Noise Impacts	4.10-22		
Public Services and Utilities	4.5-11	Ambient Air Quality Standards, Federal and	
Socioeconomic Impacts	4.2-20	State	4.9-2
Soils, Geology, and Seismicity Impacts	4.13-11		
Visual/Aesthetics	4.6-22	Analysis Focus Area.....	3-9
Water Quality Impacts.....	4.12-13	Estimated 2020 VMT by Level of Service	
		Category	4.8-66
Alternative 3 – the Blue Alternative		Estimated 2040 VMT by Level of Service	
Air Quality.....	4.9-22	Category	4.8-116
Biological Resources Impacts.....	4.14-23	Estimated Percentage of 2020 VMT by	
CEQA Evaluation.....	5-32	Level of Service Category	4.8-68
Cultural Resources.....	4.7-21	Estimated Percentage of 2040 VMT by	
description of.....	2-12	Level of Service Category	4.8-118
Farmland.....	4.4-17	Estimated Vehicle Hours of Delay .	4.8-71, 4.8-121
Hazardous Waste/Materials Impacts	4.15-12	Summary of 2020 VMT by Level of	
Hydrology Impacts	4.11-20	Service Category	4.8-67
Land Use Impacts	4.1-32	Summary of 2040 VMT by Level of	
Noise Impacts	4.10-22	Service Category	4.8-117
Public Services and Utilities	4.5-11	Summary of the Percentage of 2020 VMT	
Socioeconomic Impacts	4.2-20	by Level of Service Category	4.8-69
Soils, Geology, and Seismicity Impacts	4.13-11	Summary of the Percentage of 2040 VMT	
Visual/Aesthetics	4.6-23	by Level of Service Category	4.8-119
Water Quality Impacts.....	4.12-14		
		Archaeological Resources	
Alternative 4 – the Yellow Alternative		Affected Environment	4.7-3
Air Quality.....	4.9-23	Area of Potential Effects.....	3-9, 4.7-1, 4.7-3,
Biological Resources Impacts.....	4.14-24	4.7-9, 4.7-10, 4.7-11, 4.7-13, 4.7-15, 4.7-17	
CEQA Evaluation.....	5-32	Areas of Controversy	ES-13
Cultural Resources.....	4.7-22		
description of.....	2-12	Assumed Robust Transit System	
Farmland.....	4.4-18	Land Use and Policy Scenario	2-37
Hazardous Waste/Materials Impacts	4.15-13		
Hydrology Impacts	4.11-21	Avoidance Alternatives – Modified	
Land Use Impacts	4.1-33	NEPA/404 Process	

Alternatives Considered by Eliminated
from Further Review 2-30

Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation
Strategies

- Air Quality 4.9-36
- Biological Resources 4.14-32
- Cultural Resources..... 4.7-25
- Energy 4.16-6
- Environmental Justice..... 4.3-3
- Farmland..... 4.4-21
- Hazardous Waste/Materials 4.15-15
- Hydrology Impacts 4.11-29
- Land Use..... 4.1-44
- Noise..... 4.10-38
- Public Services and Utilities 4.5-14
- Socioeconomics 4.2-27
- Soils, Geology, and Seismicity 4.13-12
- Traffic and Transportation 4.8-111
- Visual/Aesthetics 4.6-34
- Water Quality 4.12-20

B

Bicycle Facilities 4.8-16

Biological Resources

- Impacts to Special-Status Species 4.14-27
- Listed and Proposed
 - Threatened/Endangered Species
Potentially Occurring or Known to
Occur in the Study Area 4.14-6
 - Listed and Proposed
 - Threatened/Endangered Species with
Low Potential to Occur in the Study
Area 4.14-6
 - Number of New Waterway Crossings
Potentially Impacted by Each Build
Alternative 4.14-29
 - Other Species of Concern with Potential
to Occur in the Study Area 4.14-9
 - Sensitive Resources Potentially Impacted
by Each Build Alternative 4.14-27

C

California Environmental Quality Act

- Placer Parkway Planning 1-2

California Register of Historic Resources 4.7-2

Caltrans Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol 4.10-9

CEQA Evaluation

- Air Quality 5-12
- Biological Resources 5-18
- Cultural Resources..... 5-9

Cumulative Impacts

- Biology 5-29
- Cultural Resources..... 5-25
- Hydrology..... 5-28
- Land Use and Farming 5-25
- Noise..... 5-28
- Traffic and Transportation..... 5-26
- Visual..... 5-25
- Water Quality 5-29
- description of 5-1
- Energy 5-21
- Environmentally Superior Alternative..... 5-30
 - Alternative 1 – the Red Alternative 5-31
 - Alternative 2 – the Orange Alternative..... 5-31
 - Alternative 3 – the Blue Alternative 5-32
 - Alternative 4 – the Yellow Alternative..... 5-32
 - Alternative 5 – the Green Alternative..... 5-32
- Central Segment 5-34
- Eastern Segment 5-35
- No-Build Alternative 5-30
- Western Segment..... 5-33
- Farmlands 5-6
- Growth..... 5-22
- Hazardous Materials 5-20
- Hydrology..... 5-15
- Land Use..... 5-2
- Noise..... 5-14
- Public Services and Utilities..... 5-7
- Significant Environmental Impact Which
Cannot be Avoided if the Proposed
Project is Implemented 5-22
- Significant Irreversible Environmental
Changes Which Would be Caused by
the Proposed Project Should it be
Implemented 5-24
- Soils, Geology and Seismicity 5-17
- Traffic and Transportation..... 5-10
- Visual and Aesthetics 5-9
- Water Quality 5-16

City of Lincoln

- General Plan
 - Public Services and Utilities 4.5-2
 - Visual/Aesthetics 4.6-1
- Housing Element
 - Population Allowance..... 1-32

City of Rocklin

- Draft General Plan
 - Public Services and Utilities 4.5-2
- General Plan
 - Land Use..... 4.1-3
 - Traffic and Transportation..... 4.8-4
 - Visual/Aesthetics 4.6-1
- General Plan 2025 Housing Element
 - Population Allowance..... 1-32

Placer Vineyards Specific Plan.....	1-33, 4.1-22	Socioeconomics	4.2-1
Reason Farms Environmental Preserve	1-34, 4.1-22	Water Quality	4.12-1
Regional University Specific Plan.....	1-33, 4.1-21	FHWA Visual Impact Assessment for	
Sierra Vista Specific Plan	1-34, 4.1-21	Highway Projects.....	4.6-1
Sutter Pointe Specific Plan	4.1-22	Forecasts for the Tier 1 EIS/EIR	
Sutter Pointe Specific Plan (Measure M)	1-33	Land Use and Policy Scenario	2-40
Direct Impacts		Future Availability of Fossil Fuels	
Analyses in the Tier 1 EIS/EIR	3-1	evolving existing conditions	3-13
Biological Resources	4.14-11		
Cultural Resources.....	4.7-19	G	
Energy	4.16-2	General Information About This Document.....	ES-1
Environmental Justice.....	4.3-3	General Plans and Policies	
Farmland.....	4.4-17	Biological Resources	4.14-3
Hazardous Waste/Materials	4.15-11	Farmland.....	4.4-2
Hydrology.....	4.11-12	Hydrology.....	4.11-2
Land Use.....	4.1-24	Land Use.....	4.1-1
Noise.....	4.10-9	Noise.....	4.10-2
Public Services and Utilities.....	4.5-10	Socioeconomics	4.2-1
Socioeconomics	4.2-19	Water Quality	4.12-2
Soils, Geology, and Seismicity	4.13-11		
Traffic and Transportation.....	4.8-26	H	
Analysis of 2020 Conditions	4.8-27	Hazardous	4.15-1
Existing Plus Project Conditions	4.8-26	Hazardous Waste/Materials	
Visual/Aesthetics	4.6-17	Number of Potential Hazardous	
Water Quality	4.12-7	Materials/Waste Sites with the Study	
		Area	4.15-5
E		Site Observations	
Energy		Drains and Sumps.....	4.15-8
Construction of Placer Parkway	4.16-1	Drums and Containers	4.15-7
Existing Plus Project Conditions (2004).....	4.16-2	Hazardous Waste	4.15-8
Future Analysis (2020) Conditions.....	4.16-2	PCB-Containing Equipment	4.15-8
Operation of Placer Parkway	4.16-1	Pits, Ponds, and Lagoons.....	4.15-8
Executive Order 11988.....	4.11-1	Solid Waste.....	4.15-8
		Underground/Aboveground Storage	
		Tanks	4.15-7
		Wells.....	4.15-8
F		Hydrology and Floodplains	
Farmland Classifications	4.4-9	Summary of Alternatives.....	4.11-13
Farmland Conversion Trends	4.4-8	Summary of Alternatives Ranking	4.11-24
Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program			
Land Use Trends.....	1-20	I	
Farmland Protection Policy Act.....	4.4-1	Impact Analysis	
Federal Regulations		Air Quality	4.9-17
Biological Resources	4.14-1	Biological Resources	4.14-5
Energy	4.16-1	Cultural Resources.....	4.7-19
Environmental Justice.....	4.3-1	Energy	4.16-1
Hazardous Waste/Materials	4.15-1	Environmental Justice.....	4.3-2
Hydrology.....	4.11-1	Farmland.....	4.4-16
Hydrology and Floodplains	4.11-1	Hazardous Waste/Materials	4.15-11
Noise.....	4.10-1		
Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient			
Transportation Equity Act	4.9-5		

Hydrology 4.11-8
 Land Use 4.1-23
 Noise 4.10-4
 Public Services and Utilities 4.5-9
 Socioeconomics 4.2-16
 Soils, Geology, and Seismicity 4.13-10
 Traffic and Transportation 4.8-16
 Visual/Aesthetics 4.6-14
 Water Quality 4.12-4

Important Farmland Potentially Affected by
 Alternatives 4.4-19

Induced Travel Demand 4.8-17

L

Land Evaluation and Site Assessment 4.4-1

Land Use
 by acreage 4.1-7
 developable land 4.1-8
 existing designations and zoning 4.1-8, 4.1-15

Landowner Identified Alignments
 Alternatives Considered by Eliminated
 from Further Review 2-35

Levels of Service
 defined 4.8-9
 definition 1-8
 Estimated for Build Alternatives under
 2020 Conditions 4.8-57
 Estimated for Build Alternatives under
 2040 Conditions 4.8-105
 existing 4.8-5
 summary of standards 4.8-6

Lincoln See City of Lincoln

M

Metropolitan Transportation Plan
 Environmental Justice 4.3-1
 Land Use 4.1-6
 Socioeconomics 4.2-4
 Traffic and Transportation 4.8-5

Mobile Source Air Toxics (MSATs) 4.9-4, 4.9-5,
 4.9-26

Modification of the PSR Alternatives
 Alternatives Considered by Eliminated
 from Further Review 2-27

N

National Ambient Air Quality Standards 4.9-1

National Environmental Policy Act
 Placer Parkway Planning 1-2

National Flood Insurance Act 4.11-1

National Register of Historic Places 4.7-1

Natomas Basin Habitat Conservation Plan 4.11-3
 Land Use 4.1-5

NEPA and CEQA Requirements ES-2

No-Build Alternative
 Air Quality 4.9-20
 Analysis of Alternatives 3-8
 Biological Resources Impacts 4.14-11
 CEQA Evaluation 5-30
 Cultural Resources 4.7-19
 description of 2-11
 Energy Impacts 4.16-2
 Farmland 4.4-17
 Hazardous Waste/Materials Impacts 4.15-11
 Hydrology Impacts 4.11-12
 Land Use Impacts 4.1-24
 Noise Impacts 4.10-9
 Public Services and Utilities 4.5-10
 Socioeconomic Impacts 4.2-19
 Soils, Geology, and Seismicity Impacts 4.13-11
 Visual/Aesthetics 4.6-17
 Water Quality Impacts 4.12-7

Noise
 Absolute Noise Impact Ranking by
 Alternative (2020) 4.10-25
 Absolute Noise Impact Summary (2020) ... 4.10-21
 Absolute Noise Impact Summary (2040) ... 4.10-26
 Cumulative Relative Impact for Existing
 Roadways (2040) 4.10-39
 FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria 4.10-8
 Relative Impacts for Existing/Future
 Roadways (2020) 4.10-10
 Short-Term Sound Level Measurement
 Summary 4.10-4

NPDES Stormwater Discharge Permits 4.12-1

O

Opening Year 2020 ES-5

Other Impact Considerations
 Build Alternatives
 Air Quality 6-20
 Biological Resources 6-22
 Cultural Resources 6-19
 Energy 6-23
 Farmland 6-18
 Geology, Soils, Seismic and Topography ... 6-21
 Hazardous Materials 6-23

Hydrology and Floodplains	6-21	Planning History	2-21
Land Use.....	6-17	Placer Ranch Specific Plan	
Noise.....	6-20	evolving existing conditions	3-10
Socioeconomics	6-18	Land Use.....	4.1-21
Traffic and Transportation.....	6-19	Placer Vineyards Specific Plan	
Visual Resources	6-19	Land Use.....	4.1-22
Water Quality	6-21	Pleasant Grove Creek/Curry Creek Watershed	
Comparison of Corridor Alignment		Management Groups.....	4.11-3
Alternatives.....	6-16	Population, Household, Race, and Income	
Growth.....	6-12	Projections	4.2-6
Irreversible and Irrecoverable		Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act of	
Commitments of Resources.....	6-23	1969	4.12-1
Land Use.....	6-13	Potential Watt Avenue Interchange	
Overview	6-1-6-12	Absolute Noise Impact Summary (2020)	7-21
Watt Avenue Interchange	6-16	Absolute Noise Impact Summary (2040)	7-23
Other Plans and Policies		Effect on Air Quality	7-13
Environmental Justice.....	4.3-1	Effect on Biological Resources	7-26
Other Potential Developments Areas		Effect on Cultural Resources	7-7
AKT Development	4.1-23	Effect on Energy.....	7-26
Brookfield.....	4.1-23	Effect on Farmland	7-6
Other Required Actions	ES-13	Effect on Geology, Soil, Seismicity,	
		Topography.....	7-25
P		Effect on Hazardous Materials	7-26
Paleontological Resources		Effect on Hydrology and Floodplains.....	7-25
Affected Environment	4.7-12	Effect on Land Use	7-2
Permits and Approvals.....	2-41	Effect on Noise	7-21
Placer County		Effect on Public Services and Utilities	7-6
Environmental Health Department		Effect on Socioeconomics and	
Hazardous Waste/Materials.....	4.15-6	Community Impacts	7-5
General Plan		Effect on Traffic and Transportation	7-7
Hydrology.....	4.11-2	Effect on Water Quality and Stormwater	
Land Use.....	4.1-2	Runoff.....	7-25
Noise.....	4.10-3	Effect Visual and Aesthetics.....	7-6
Socioeconomics	4.2-3	Noise Impact Rating by Alternative	
Traffic and Transportation.....	4.8-2	(2040)	7-25
Visual/Aesthetics	4.6-1	Overview	7-1
Growth.....	3-4	Percentage Change in VMT and Criteria	
Housing Element		Pollutant Emissions for Alternative 1	
Population Allowance.....	1-30	and the No-Built Alternative 2020	7-16
Office of Emergency Services		Percentage Change in VMT and Criteria	
Hazardous Waste/Materials.....	4.15-6	Pollutant Emissions for Alternative 2	
Placer County and City of Roseville		and the No-Built Alternative 2020	7-17, 7-18
Memorandum of Understanding		Percentage Change in VMT and Criteria	
Land Use.....	4.1-4	Pollutant Emissions for Alternative 4	
Placer County Conservation Program/Natural		and the No-Built Alternative 2020	7-19
Communities Conservation Plan and		Percentage Change in VMT and Criteria	
Habitat Conservation Plan		Pollutant Emissions for Alternative 5	
Land Use.....	4.1-5	and the No-Built Alternative 2020	7-20
Placer Parkway Concept	ES-2	Relative Noise Impact for Existing	
Placer Parkway Corridor Preservation Project		Roadways (2040).....	7-24
		Relative Noise Impacts for Existing	
		Roadways (2020).....	7-22

VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for
Alternative 1 and the No-Built
Alternative 2020 7-16

VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for
Alternative 2 and the No-Built
Alternative 2020 7-17

VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for
Alternative 3 and the No-Built
Alternative 2020 7-18

VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for
Alternative 4 and the No-Built
Alternative 2020 7-19

VMT and Criteria Pollutant Emissions for
Alternative 5 and the No-Built
Alternative 2020 7-20

Pricing Mechanisms
Land Use and Policy Scenario 2-39

Project Alternatives
Alternative Descriptions ES-9
Build Alternatives ES-6
Design Concept Assumptions ES-9
No-Build Alternative ES-6

Project Background 1-8

Project Description 2-1

Project History 1-11

Project Need
Need to Preserve Right-of-Way 1-6
Travel Demand 1-7

Project Purpose
Access to Regional Transportation
Systems 1-8
Anticipated Travel Demand 1-8
Preserving Right-of-Way 1-8

Project Study Area
Segments 3-8

Projected Growth
By County
Employment 1-16
Population 1-13
Local Project Vicinity
Population 1-17
Local Project Vicinity
Employment 1-19

PSR Alternatives
Alternatives Considered by Eliminated
from Further Review 2-26

Purpose and Need for Project ES-4, 1-1

R

Reason Farms Environmental Preserve
Land Use 4.1-22

Reclamation District No. 1000 4.7-11, 4.7-13,
4.7-20, 4.7-25, 4.11-3, 4.11-4, 4.11-30

Regional Analysis Districts 3-8

Regional Regulations
Transportation Conformity Rule 4.9-6

Regional Transportation Plan
Land Use 4.1-5
Traffic and Transportation 4.8-4

Regional University Specific Plan
evolving existing conditions 3-10
Land Use 1-6, 4.1-21, 4.1-22, 4.1-28,
4.1-32, 4.1-33

Regional Water Quality Control Board
Hazardous Waste/Materials 4.15-7

Regulatory Setting
Air Quality 4.9-1
Biological Resources 4.14-1
Cultural Resources 4.7-1
Environmental Justice 4.3-1
Farmlands 4.4-1
Hazardous Waste/Materials 4.15-1
Hydrology and Floodplains 4.11-1
Land Use 4.1-1
Noise 4.10-1
Public Services and Utilities 4.5-1
Regulatory Setting 4.16-1
Socioeconomics 4.2-1
Soils, Geology, and Seismicity 4.13-1
Traffic and Transportation 4.8-1
Visual/Aesthetics 4.6-1
Water Quality 4.12-1

Roadway Operations and Travel Demand
Fiddyment Road 1-28
Howsley Road/Sunset Boulevard West 1-27
Interstate 80 1-22
Pleasant Grove Road 1-29
Riego/Baseline Road 1-25
State Route 65 1-23
State Route 70/99 1-23

Roadway System
existing 4.8-5

Rocklin *See* City of Rocklin

Roseville *See* City of Roseville

S

SACOG Blueprint		Active Faults Zoned by CDMG Within	
Land Use.....	4.1-6	60 Miles of the Study Area.....	4.13-5
Land Use and Policy Scenario.....	2-38	Selected Potentially Active Faults Within	
SACOG's 4D Model Post-Processor		60 Miles of the Study Area.....	4.13-5
Land Use and Policy Scenario.....	2-39	Faults and Seismicity.....	4.13-2
Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency.....	4.11-3	Landslides.....	4.13-6
Sacramento County		Liquefaction.....	4.13-6
General Plan		Local Geology.....	4.13-2
Housing Element		Mineral Resources.....	4.13-6
Population Allowance.....	1-31	Regional Geologic Setting.....	4.13-1
Land Use.....	4.1-3	Seiches and Tsunamis.....	4.13-9
Socioeconomics.....	4.2-4	Subsidence.....	4.13-10
Traffic and Transportation.....	4.8-3	Topography.....	4.13-1
Visual/Aesthetics.....	4.6-1	State Regulations	
Sacramento Metropolitan Travel Demand		Biological Resources.....	4.14-2
Model (SACMET).....	4.8-16	Energy.....	4.16-1
Secondary and Indirect		Hazardous Waste/Materials.....	4.15-1
Cultural Resources.....	4.7-24	Hydrology.....	4.11-2
Secondary and Indirect Impact Analysis Study		Noise.....	4.10-1
Area.....	3-9	Water Quality.....	4.12-1
Secondary and Indirect Impacts		Streambed Alteration Program.....	4.11-2, 4.12-2
Air Quality.....	4.9-27	Study Area	
Analyses in the Tier 1 EIS/EIR.....	3-1	Employment.....	4.2-13
Biological Resources.....	4.14-30	Home Prices.....	4.2-12
Energy.....	4.16-5	Housing.....	4.2-11
Environmental Justice.....	4.3-3	Income.....	4.2-16
Farmland.....	4.4-20	Population.....	4.2-6
Hazardous Waste/Materials.....	4.15-14	Race, Ethnicity, and Poverty.....	4.3-2
Hydrology Impacts.....	4.11-27	Unemployment.....	4.2-15
Land Use.....	4.1-43	Summary of General Plan Policies and Goals	
Noise.....	4.10-25	Visual/Aesthetics.....	4.6-2
Public Services and Utilities.....	4.5-13	Summary of Impacts	
Socioeconomics.....	4.2-24	Public Services and Utilities.....	4.5-13
Soils, Geology, and Seismicity.....	4.13-11	Summary of Potential Environmental Impacts	
Traffic and Transportation.....	4.8-72	Air Quality.....	ES-11
Visual/Aesthetics.....	4.6-30	Biological Resources.....	ES-12
Water Quality.....	4.12-18	Cultural Resources.....	ES-11
Secondary and Indirect Impacts Associated		Farmlands.....	ES-10
with Growth.....	6-17	Hazardous Waste/Materials.....	ES-13
Section 106 Programmatic Agreement.....	4.7-1	Hydrology and Floodplains.....	ES-12
Section 404 permit.....	4.11-1, 4.12-1	Land Use.....	ES-10
Sierra Vista Specific Plan		Noise.....	ES-12
Land Use.....	4.1-21	Public Services and Utilities.....	ES-11
Soils, Geology, and Seismicity		Socioeconomics.....	ES-10
Expansive Soils.....	4.13-10	Table of Potential Impacts.....	ES-15-ES-19
Faults		Traffic and Transportation.....	ES-11
		Visual and Aesthetics.....	ES-11
		Water Quality.....	ES-12
		Sunset Industrial Area Plan	
		Land Use.....	4.1-4, 4.1-20
		Population Allowance.....	1-30
		Public Services and Utilities.....	4.5-3

Super Williamson Act 4.4-2

Sutter County

 Agricultural Commission

 Hazardous Waste/Materials 4.15-6

 Environmental Health Division

 Hazardous Waste/Materials 4.15-6

 General Plan

 Housing Element Update

 Population Allowance 1-30

 Hydrology 4.11-2

 Land Use 4.1-1

 Noise 4.10-2

 Socioeconomics 4.2-1

 Traffic and Transportation 4.8-1

 Visual/Aesthetics 4.6-1

 Sutter Pointe Specific Plan

 evolving existing conditions 3-10

 Land Use 4.1-22

T

Tier 1 and Tier 2 Studies 3-2

 Air Quality 4.9-38

 Biological Resources 4.14-36

 Cultural Resources 4.7-27

 Energy 4.16-6

 Environmental Justice 4.3-3

 Farmland 4.4-26

 Hazardous Waste/Materials 4.15-16

 Hydrology Impacts 4.11-31

 Land Use 4.1-47

 Noise 4.10-41

 Public Services and Utilities 4.5-15

 Socioeconomics 4.2-29

 Soils, Geology, and Seismicity 4.13-12

 Traffic and Transportation 4.8-126

 Visual/Aesthetics 4.6-36

 Water Quality 4.12-23

Tiering, definition ES-3

Transit Service

 existing 4.8-14

Transportation Analysis Study Area 3-9

 Energy

 Estimated Energy Consumption

 Associated with VMT for the Build

 Alternatives (2020) 4.16-4

 Estimated Energy Consumption

 Associated with VMT for the Build

 Alternatives (2040) 4.16-5

 Potential Watt Avenue Interchange

 Estimated 2040 Vehicle Hours of Delay

 with and without Watt Avenue

 Interchange 7-15

Estimated 2040 VMT by LOS Category

 with and without Watt Avenue

 Interchange 7-11

Estimated Vehicle Hours of Delay with

 and without Watt Avenue Interchange 7-14

Potential Watt Avenue Interchange

 Estimated 2020 VMT by LOS Category with and

 without Watt Avenue Interchange 7-9

 Estimated Percentage of 2020 VMT by LOS

 Category with and without Watt Avenue

 Interchange 7-10

 Summary of 2020 VMT by LOS Category with

 and without Watt Avenue Interchange 7-9

 Summary of Percentage of 2020 VMT by LOS

 Category with and without Watt Avenue

 Interchange 7-10

Traffic

Estimated 2020 VMT by Level of

 Service Category 4.8-62

Estimated 2040 VMT by Level of

 Service Category 4.8-112

Estimated Percentage of 2020 VMT by

 Level of Service Category 4.8-64

Estimated Percentage of 2040 VMT by

 Level of Service Category 4.8-114

Estimated Vehicle Hours of Delay 4.8-70,

 4.8-120

Existing Traffic Volumes and LOS 4.8-10

Existing Transit Routes 4.8-14

Summary of 2020 VMT by Level of

 Service Category 4.8-63

Summary of 2040 VMT by Level of

 Service Category 4.8-113

Summary of the Percentage of 2020

 VMT by Level of Service Category 4.8-65

Summary of the Percentage of 2040

 VMT by Level of Service Category ... 4.8-115

Transportation Conformity Rule

 Conformity Year 4.9-27

Transportation System

 future 4.8-17

V

Visual Character

 Central Segment Landscape Unit 4.6-6

 defined 4.6-6

 Eastern Segment Landscape Unit 4.6-13

 Western Segment Landscape Unit 4.6-6

Visual Quality 4.6-13

Volume/Capacity Ratios

 Estimated for Build Alternatives under

 2020 Conditions 4.8-53

Estimated for Build Alternatives under 2040 Conditions.....	4.8-101	Summary of Criteria Used for Evaluation of Alternatives	4.12-6
W			
Water Quality		Watersheds	
Auburn Ravine Watershed.....	4.12-4	Auburn Ravine.....	4.11-7
Curry Creek Watershed	4.12-4	Curry Creek	4.11-7
Natomas Basin.....	4.12-3	Natomas.....	4.11-4
Natomas East Main Drainage Canal		Natomas East Main Drainage Canal.....	4.11-7
Watershed.....	4.12-4	Pleasant Grove Creek	4.11-7
Parameters		West Roseville Specific Plan	
Summary of Corridor Alignment		Land Use.....	4.1-4, 4.1-20
Alternatives.....	4.12-8	Williamson Act.....	4.4-1
Pleasant Grove Creek Watershed	4.12-3	Williamson Act Contracted Land	
Summary of Alternative Ranking.....	4.12-17	Placer County	4.4-15
		Sutter County.....	4.4-10